

**SUPPLEMENT TO FISCAL YEAR 2001-02
BUDGET ACT ALLOCATION
ALLOCATION METHODOLOGIES**

SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

In Fiscal Year (FY) 2000-01, California received an increase of \$11.9 million in Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment (SAPT) block grant funds. The increase is ongoing and the FFY 2002 SAPT block grant funds are as follows:

Prevention Set-Aside	\$2.4 million
HIV Set-Aside	\$0.6 million

The remaining funds are either held at the State for support services or are included in the FY 2002-03 preliminary allocation.

In FY 2001-02, California received an increase of \$15.4 million in SAPT block grant funds. The increase is as follows:

Discretionary	\$9.3 million
Prevention Set-Aside	\$3.1 million
HIV Set-Aside	\$0.8 million

The remaining funds are held at the State for support and local assistance services.

SAPT Block Grant Discretionary Funds Increase

The FFY 2002 SAPT Discretionary increase is \$9.3 million. These funds are allocated using the Standard Allocation Methodology, \$2,500 per \$1 million with the balance distributed straight per capita.

SAPT Block Grant Prevention Set-Aside Increase

The FFY 2002 Prevention Set-Aside increase totals \$5.5 million. These funds are allocated proportionately to each county's SAPT Prevention Set-Aside.

SAPT Block Grant HIV Set-Aside Increase

The FFY 2002 HIV Set-Aside increase totals \$1.4 million. These funds are allocated based on the following methodology of indicators and weighted amounts:

- 40% on the number of recent AIDS cases (1993 to present)
- 25% on the total population from communities of color

Exhibit 1

- 15% on the total population living in rural areas
- 15% on the population below poverty level
- 5% on the number of seroprevalence childbearing women (formerly neonatal surveillance)

A ratio is calculated by dividing the weighted indicators for each county into the weighted total. Each county's ratio is then multiplied by the total available funds to determine each County's share. The funds of those counties that decline the HIV Set-Aside funds are reallocated to the remaining participating counties using the same allocation methodology.

P:\PUB-DATA\POD\Bulletins\2002\02-9\02-9 Exhibit 1.doc